

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

PORTO TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

**SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC., AND SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
LLC,**

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-456

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

This is an action for patent infringement in which Porto Technology Co., LTD. (“Porto” or “Plaintiff”) makes the following allegations against Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Samsung Electronics”), and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC, (“Samsung Telecom.”) or (collectively “Defendants”):

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Porto is a Korean Company, having a principal place of business of B-1011 Mapotrapalce 53 Mapodaero, Mapo-ku, Seoul, Korea 121-784.

2. Defendant Samsung Electronics is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business located at 85 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660. Samsung may be served via its registered agent for service of process: CT Corporation System, 111 Eight Avenue, New York, New York, 10011.

3. Defendant Samsung Telecom, wholly owned by Samsung Electronics, is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its

principal place of business located at 1301 E. Lookout Dr. Richardson, Texas 75082. Samsung can be served via its registered agent for service of process: Corporation Service Company, 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, each Defendant has transacted business in this district, and has committed and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this district.

6. On information and belief, each Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this Judicial District.

COUNT I **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,233,518**

7. Mr. Ji-Soo Lee, is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 6,233,518 ("the '518 Patent") titled "Method and System for Providing an Image Vector-Based Traffic Information." The '518 Patent was duly issued on May 15, 2001. A true and correct copy of the '518 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

8. Porto Technology Co., LTD. is the exclusive licensee of the '518 Patent. The exclusive license, dated April 24, 2013, grants all substantial rights to Porto, including the

exclusive right “to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and import any Licensed Inventions, including the right to sue for past, present, the future damages.” Porto further has the right to grant sublicenses to third parties and to transfer, sell, or assign the rights conferred under the exclusive license agreement. The exclusive license granted to Porto remains effective until the expiration date of the ’518 Patent.

9. Upon information and belief, each Defendant has been and is now infringing the ’518 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, directly or through intermediaries, making, using, importing, providing, supplying, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale apparatuses and systems (including, without limitation, Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4) that perform at least the method of displaying an image-based traffic information comprising the steps of: receiving a traffic information map which includes at least a traffic state map, said traffic state map includes a plurality of time-variant image vector entities in a specified region and each of the time-variant image vector entity includes an attribute-designating statement, an shape-designating statement and a position-designating statement; displaying a first image in accordance with a basic map on a screen, said basic map including a plurality of time-invariant image vector entities in the specified region; and displaying a second image in accordance with said traffic state map such that said second image is cumulatively displayed on the first image, covered by one or more claims of the ’518 Patent to the injury of Porto. Defendants are directly infringing, literally infringing, and/or infringing the ’518 Patent under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendants are thus liable for infringement of the ’518 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

10. Additionally, and in the alternative, upon information and belief, Defendants have also been inducing infringement of the '518 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, intending that others use, offer for sale, or sell in the United States, products and/or methods covered by one or more claims of '518 Patent, including, but not limited to, Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4. Defendants provide these products to others, such as customers, resellers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers who, in turn, use, offer for sale, or sell in the United States these accused products that infringe one or more claims of the '518 Patent.

11. Defendants indirectly infringe the '518 Patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is a result of the activities performed by the resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers of Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4.

12. Defendants instruct and induce others to practice methods that infringe the '518 Patent by providing instructions, developer's guide, and other documentations. Since at least as early as the filing date of this Complaint, Defendants have had knowledge of the '518 patent and, by continuing the actions described above, has had the specific intent to induce infringement of the '518 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

13. Defendants' affirmative acts of selling and providing the accused Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4, causing the accused products to be manufactured and distributed, and providing instructions for using the accused products, induce Defendants' resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers to use the accused products in their normal and customary way to infringe one or

more claims of the '518 Patent. Defendants perform the acts that constitute induced infringement, and induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '518 Patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts constitute infringement.

14. Defendants specifically intend for others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '518 Patent, or, alternatively, has been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement. By way of example, and not as limitation, Defendants induce such infringement by its affirmative action by, among other things: (a) providing advertising on the benefits of using the Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4; and (b) providing instruction on how to use the Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4.

15. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Defendants specifically intend for others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '518 Patent in the United States because Defendants have knowledge of the '518 Patent at least as of the date this lawsuit was filed and Defendants actually induce others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, to directly infringe the '518 Patent by using, selling, and/or distributing, within the United States, the accused products.

16. Defendants have also been contributing to the infringement of the '518 Patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by making, selling or offering to sell in the United States the accused Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4. These products have been or are integrated or preinstalled in Defendants' Samsung Android phones such as the Galaxy Note 4. Since at least the filing date

of this Complaint, Defendants have had knowledge of the '518 patent and, by continuing the actions described above, have had the knowledge that the products are especially made or adapted for use in a way that infringes the '518 Patent. The Samsung Android phones with one or more map apps, such as the Galaxy Note 4, that Defendants provide are a significant part of the inventions of the claims of the '518 Patent and have no significant non-infringing use.

17. On information and belief, to the extent any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, all predecessors in interest to the '518 Patent complied with any such requirements.

18. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '518 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendants, together with interest and costs as fixed by the court, and Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

19. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting on in active concert therewith from infringing the '518 Patent, Plaintiff will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

COUNT II
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,532,413

20. Mr. Ji-Soo Lee, is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 6,532,413 ("the '413 Patent") titled "Method and Apparatus for Providing Time-Variant Geographical Information and a User Device Therefor." The '413 Patent was duly issued on March 11, 2003. A true and correct copy of the '413 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.

21. Porto Technology Co., LTD. is the exclusive licensee of the '413 Patent. The exclusive license, dated April 24, 2013, grants all substantial rights to Porto, including the

exclusive right “to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and import any Licensed Inventions, including the right to sue for past, present, the future damages.” Porto further has the right to grant sublicenses to third parties and to transfer, sell, or assign the rights conferred under the exclusive license agreement. The exclusive license granted to Porto remains effective until the expiration date of the ’413 Patent.

22. Upon information and belief, each Defendant has been and is now infringing the ’413 Patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, directly or through intermediaries, making, using, importing, providing, supplying, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale apparatuses and systems (including, without limitation, Samsung Android smartphones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4) method for a computer-readable medium containing a program of instructions to perform a method for processing a route information representing at least one path to a specific location, said method comprising the steps of: receiving a route indication information (“RII”) including a map identification and a plurality of graphic vectors, each of said graphic vectors for RII including an attribute designating statement, a shape designating statement and a position designating statement, said attribute designating statement being composed of an attribute designating command and at least one attribute value; and selecting a basic map in accordance with said map identification of the RII, said BM including an image data for representing time-invariant components in a region; and producing a route-information containing image data in accordance with said BM and said RII which is to be applied to a display panel, covered by one or more claims of the ’413 Patent to the injury of Porto. Defendants are directly infringing, literally infringing, and/or infringing the ’413 Patent under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendants are thus liable for infringement of the ’413 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

23. Additionally, and in the alternative, upon information and belief, Defendants have also been inducing infringement of the '413 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, intending that others use, offer for sale, or sell in the United States, products and/or methods covered by one or more claims of '413 Patent, including, but not limited to, Samsung Android smartphones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4. Defendants provide these products to others, such as customers, resellers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers who, in turn, use, offer for sale, or sell in the United States these accused products that infringe one or more claims of the '413 Patent.

24. Defendants indirectly infringe the '413 Patent by inducing infringement by others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this District and elsewhere in the United States. Direct infringement is a result of the activities performed by the resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers of the Samsung Android smartphones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4.

25. Defendants instruct and induce others to practice methods that infringe the '413 Patent by providing instructions, developer's guide, and other documentations. Since at least as early as the filing date of this Complaint, Defendants have had knowledge of the '413 patent and, by continuing the actions described above, have had the specific intent to induce infringement of the '413 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

26. Defendants' affirmative acts of selling and providing the Samsung Android Smartphones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4, causing the accused products to be manufactured and distributed, and providing instructions for using the accused products, induce Defendants' resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use

consumers to use the accused products in their normal and customary way to infringe one or more claims of the '413 Patent. Defendants perform the acts that constitute induced infringement, and induce actual infringement, with the knowledge of the '413 Patent and with the knowledge or willful blindness that the induced acts constitute infringement.

27. Defendants specifically intend for others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '413 Patent, or, alternatively, have been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement. By way of example, and not as limitation, Defendants induce such infringement by their affirmative action by, among other things: (a) providing advertising on the benefits of using the Samsung Android Smartphones with one or more map apps; and (b) providing instruction on how to use the Samsung Android Smartphones with one or more map apps.

28. Accordingly, a reasonable inference is that Defendants specifically intend for others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '413 Patent in the United States because Defendants have knowledge of the '413 Patent at least as of the date this lawsuit was filed and Defendants actually induce others, such as resellers, customers, third-party developers, and end-use consumers, to directly infringe the '413 Patent by using, selling, and/or distributing, within the United States, the accused products.

29. Defendants have also been contributing to the infringement of the '413 Patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by making, selling or offering to sell in the United States the accused Samsung Android Smartphones with one or more map apps such as the Galaxy Note 4. Since at least the filing date of this Complaint, Defendants have had knowledge of the '413 patent and, by continuing the actions described above, has had

the knowledge that the products are especially made or adapted for use in a way that infringes the '413 Patent. The Samsung Android Smartphones with one or more map apps product that Defendants provide are a significant part of the inventions of the claims of the '413 Patent and have no significant non-infringing use.

30. On information and belief, to the extent any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, all predecessors in interest to the '413 Patent complied with any such requirements.

31. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '413 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendants' infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendants, together with interest and costs as fixed by the court, and Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

32. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting on in active concert therewith from infringing the '413 Patent, Plaintiff will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

1. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendants have infringed the '518 and '413 Patents;
2. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants and their officers, directors, agents servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the

infringement of the '518 and '413 Patents, or such other equitable relief the Court determines is warranted;

3. A judgment and order requiring Defendants pay to Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '518 and '413 Patents as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and an accounting of ongoing post-judgment infringement; and

4. Any and all other relief, at law or equity, to which Plaintiff may show itself to be entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Porto, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

DATED April 3, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Hao Ni

Hao Ni

Texas Bar No. 24047205

hni@nilawfirm.com

Timothy T. Wang

Texas Bar No. 24067927

twang@nilawfirm.com

Neal G. Massand

Texas Bar No. 24039038

nmassand@nilawfirm.com

Stevenson Moore V

Texas Bar No. 24076573

smoore@nilawfirm.com

Ni, Wang & Massand, PLLC

8140 Walnut Hill Ln., Ste. 500

Dallas, TX 75231

Tel: (972) 331-4600

Fax: (972) 314-0900

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
PORTO TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.**